

PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS

Edited by **Gerald A. Edgar, Doug Hensley, Douglas B. West**

with the collaboration of Mike Bennett, Itshak Borosh, Paul Bracken, Ezra A. Brown, Randall Dougherty, Tamás Erdélyi, Zachary Franco, Christian Friesen, Ira M. Gesel, László Lipták, Frederick W. Luttman, Vania Mascioni, Frank B. Miles, Bogdan Petrenko, Richard Pfeifer, Cecil C. Rousseau, Leonard Smiley, Kenneth Stolarsky, Richard Stong, Walter Stromquist, Daniel Ullman, Charles Vanden Eynden, Sam Vandervelde, and Fuzhen Zhang.

Proposed problems and solutions should be sent in duplicate to the MONTHLY problems address on the back of the title page. Submitted solutions should arrive at that address before May 31, 2011. Additional information, such as generalizations and references, is welcome. The problem number and the solver's name and address should appear on each solution. An asterisk () after the number of a problem or a part of a problem indicates that no solution is currently available.*

PROBLEMS

11544. *Proposed by Max A. Alekseyev, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, and Frank Ruskey, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada.* Prove that if m is a positive integer, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \varphi(2k+1) \left\lfloor \frac{m+k}{2k+1} \right\rfloor = m^2.$$

Here φ denotes the Euler totient function.

11545. *Proposed by Manuel Kauers, Research Institute for Symbolic Computation, Linz, Austria, and Sheng-Lan Ko, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan.* Find a closed-form expression for

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{2n}{n+k} s(n+k, k),$$

where s refers to the (signed) Stirling numbers of the first kind.

11546. *Proposed by Kieren MacMillan, Toronto, Canada, and Jonathan Sondow, New York, NY.* Let d , k , and q be positive integers, with k odd. Find the highest power of 2 that divides $\sum_{n=1}^{2^d k} n^q$.

11547. *Proposed by Francisco Javier García Capitán, I.E.S Álvarez Cubero, Priego de Córdoba, Spain, and Juan Bosco Romero Márquez, University of Valladolid, Spain.* Let the altitude AD of triangle ABC be produced to meet the circumcircle again at E . Let K , L , M , and N be the projections of D onto the lines BA , AC , CE , and EB , and let P , Q , R , and S be the intersections of the diagonals of $DKAL$, $DLCM$, $DMEN$, and $DNBK$, respectively. Let $|XY|$ denote the distance from X to Y , and let α , β , γ be the

radian measure of angles BAC , CBA , ACB , respectively. Show that $PQRS$ is a rhombus and that $|QS|^2/|PR|^2 = 1 + \cos(2\beta) \cos(2\gamma)/\sin^2 \alpha$.

11548. Proposed by Cezar Lupu (student), University of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania, and Tudorel Lupu, Decebal High School, Constanta, Romania. Let f be a twice-differentiable real-valued function with continuous second derivative, and suppose that $f(0) = 0$. Show that

$$\int_{-1}^1 (f''(x))^2 dx \geq 10 \left(\int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx \right)^2.$$

11549. Proposed by Marian Tetiva, National College "Gheorghe Roșca Codreanu," Bîrlad, Romania. Determine all continuous functions f on \mathbb{R} such that for all x ,

$$f(f(f(x))) - 3f(x) + 2x = 0.$$

11550. Proposed by Stefano Siboni, University of Trento, Trento, Italy. Let G be a point inside triangle ABC . Let α , β , γ be the radian measures of angles BGC , CGA , AGB , respectively. Let O , R , S be the triangle's circumcenter, circumradius, and area, respectively. Let $|XY|$ be the distance from X to Y . Prove that

$$|GA| \cdot |GB| \cdot |GC| (|GA| \sin \alpha + |GB| \sin \beta + |GC| \sin \gamma) = 2S(R^2 - |GO|^2).$$

SOLUTIONS

A Consequence of Wolstenholme's Theorem

11382 [2008, 665]. Proposed by Roberto Tauraso, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata," Rome, Italy. For $k \geq 1$, let H_k be the k th harmonic number, defined by $H_k = \sum_{j=1}^k 1/j$. Show that if p is prime and $p > 5$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k^2}{k} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k^2} \pmod{p^2}.$$

(Two rationals are congruent modulo d if their difference can be expressed as a reduced fraction of the form da/b with b relatively prime to a and d .)

Solution by Douglas B. Tyler, Raytheon, Torrance, CA. Let $S = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$. All summations are over $k \in S$. Note that

$$3 \left(\sum \frac{H_k}{k^2} - \sum \frac{H_k^2}{k} \right) = \sum \left(H_k - \frac{1}{k} \right)^3 - \sum H_k^3 + \sum \frac{1}{k^3}.$$

Since $H_k - \frac{1}{k} = H_{k-1}$, the right side telescopes to $-H_{p-1}^3 + \sum \frac{1}{k^3}$. Since $p > 3$, it suffices to show that H_{p-1}^3 and $\sum \frac{1}{k^3}$ are both congruent to 0 modulo p^2 .

Modulo p , the reciprocals of the elements of S form a permutation of S , so $H_{p-1} = \sum k^{-1} \equiv \sum k = \frac{1}{2}p(p-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus $H_{p-1}^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}$.

By reversing the index in one copy of the sum, modulo p^2 we have

$$2 \sum \frac{1}{k^3} = \sum \frac{p^3 - 3p^2k + 3pk^2}{k^3(p-k)^3} \equiv \sum \frac{3pk^2}{k^3(p-k)^3} = 3p \sum \frac{1}{k(p-k)^3}.$$

It remains to show $\sum \frac{1}{k^{p-k^3}} \equiv 0 \pmod p$. This sum is congruent to $\sum \frac{1}{-k^4}$. Modulo p , the reciprocals of the fourth powers of S form a permutation of the fourth powers of S , so $\sum \frac{1}{k^4} = \sum k^4 \pmod p$. It is well known that the sum over S of the r th powers is a polynomial of degree $r + 1$ in p . In fact, $\sum k^4 = \frac{p^5}{5} - \frac{p^4}{2} + \frac{p^3}{3} - \frac{p}{30}$, easily proved by induction. With no constant term, the polynomial has value 0 mod p when $p > 5$.

Editorial comment. That $H_{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod p$, and that $\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} k^{-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$, could have been established by an appeal to Wolstenholme's theorem.

Also solved by R. Chapman (U. K.), P. Corn, P. P. Dályay (Hungary), Y. Dumont (France), O. Kouba (Syria), J. H. Lindsey II, O. P. Lossers (Netherlands), M. A. Prasad (India), N. C. Singer, A. Stadler (Switzerland), R. Stong, M. Tetiva (Romania), GCHQ Problem Solving Group (U. K.), and the proposer.

Groups with Arbitrarily Sparse Squares

11388 [2008, 758]. *Proposed by M. Farrokhi D.G., University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba Ibakari, Japan.* Given a group G , let G^2 denote the set of all squares in G . Show that for each natural number n there exists a finite group G such that the cardinality of G is n times the cardinality of G^2 .

Solution by Richard Stong, San Diego, CA. When G has odd order, every element is a square, so $|G|/|G^2| = 1$. For order 2, only the identity is a square, so $|G|/|G^2| = 2$.

Let p be an odd prime, and let s be the largest integer such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2^s}$. The multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ of nonzero congruence classes modulo p is cyclic of order $p - 1$ and has an element a of order 2^s . Hence $a^{2^{s-1}} \equiv -1 \pmod p$, and no smaller power of a satisfies this congruence. Now consider the group H_p with presentation

$$H_p = \langle x, y : x^p = y^{2^{s+1}} = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^a \rangle.$$

Every element of this group can be written uniquely as $x^b y^c$ for $b \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}/2^{s+1}\mathbb{Z}$, and the multiplication law is

$$x^{b_1} y^{c_1} x^{b_2} y^{c_2} = x^{b_1+a^{c_1}b_2} y^{c_1+c_2}$$

with operations in the exponents of x and y taken mod p and mod 2^{s+1} , respectively. Setting $b = b_1 = b_2$ and $c = c_1 = c_2$, we see that the squares in H_p are precisely the elements of the form $x^{b(1+a^c)} y^{2c}$. Hence, if $x^\beta y^\gamma = (x^b y^c)^2$, then γ is even and either $c = \gamma/2$ or $c = \gamma/2 + 2^s$. Since $a^{2^s} = 1$, both possibilities give the same value of $1 + a^c$. If $\gamma \neq 2^s$ (that is, if $c \neq 2^{s-1}$), then $1 + a^c$ is nonzero and all choices of β give squares. If $\gamma = 2^s$, then $c = \pm 2^{s-1}$ and $1 + a^{c^2} = 0$, so only $\beta = 0$ gives a square. Thus $|H_p^2| = (2^s - 1)p + 1$. Note that $p \equiv 1 + 2^s \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, so $(2^s - 1)p + 1$ is indeed a multiple of 2^{s+1} . Hence

$$\frac{|H_p|}{|H_p^2|} = \frac{2^{s+1}p}{(2^s - 1)p + 1} = \frac{p}{r_p},$$

where r_p is the integer $((2^s - 1)p + 1)/(2^{s+1})$ and $r_p < p$.

If G and H are finite, then the set of squares in $G \times H$ is $G^2 \times H^2$, so

$$\frac{|G \times H|}{|(G \times H)^2|} = \frac{|G|}{|G^2|} \cdot \frac{|H|}{|H^2|}.$$

The result now follows by induction on n . We have given examples for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$, so consider $n \geq 3$. When n is even, let $G_{n/2}$ be an example with $|G_{n/2}|/|G_{n/2}^2| =$

$n/2$; now $G_{n/2} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is the desired example for G_n . When n is odd, let p be an odd prime divisor of n , let $m = nr_n/p < n$ (with r_n as above), and let G_m be an example with $|G_m|/|G_m^2| = m$. Now $G_m \times H_p$ is the desired example for G_n .

Also solved by A. J. Bevelacqua, R. Martin (Germany), L. Reid, D. B. Tyler, NSA Problems Group, and the proposer.

A Nonexistent Ring

11407 [2009, 82]. *Proposed by Erwin Just (emeritus), Bronx Community College of the City University of New York, New York, NY.* Let p be a prime greater than 3. Does there exist a ring with more than one element (not necessarily having a multiplicative identity) such that for all x in the ring, $\sum_{i=1}^p x^{2i-1} = 0$?

Solution by O.P. Lossers, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands. We prove that no such ring R exists by showing that the assumption $\sum_{i=1}^p x^{2i-1} = 0$ for all x yields $R = \{0\}$, contradicting the hypothesis that $|R| \geq 2$. Multiplying by x^2 yields $\sum_{i=1}^p x^{2i+1} = 0$, and then $x^{2p+1} = x$ by subtraction. Now $x^{4p} = x^{2p-1}x^{2p+1} = x^{2p-1}x = x^{2p}$. We conclude that all positive even powers of x^p are equal. Next compute

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^p (x^{2p})^{2i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^p x^{2(2i-1)p} = px^{2p}.$$

Since $x^{2p+1} = x$, we have $px = px^{2p+1} = (px^{2p})x = 0x = 0$. Thus $(x+x)^p = x^p + x^p$. Now

$$2x = (2x)^{2p+1} = 2x[(x+x)^p]^2 = 2x(x^p + x^p)^2 = 2x4x^{2p} = 8x^{2p+1} = 8x.$$

Therefore, $6x = 8x - 2x = 0$, and we already know that $px = 0$. Therefore, $0 = \gcd(6, p)x = x$. Since x is an arbitrary element of R , it follows that $R = \{0\}$.

Also solved by E. P. Amendariz, N. Caro (Colombia), R. Chapman (U. K.), Y. Ge (Austria), D. Grinberg, J. H. Lindsey II, A. Sh. Shabani (Kosova), R. Stong, C. T. Stretch (Ireland), N. Vonessen, FAU Problem Solving Group, NSA Problem Group, and the proposer.

Summing to k th Powers

11408 [2009, 83]. *Proposed by Marius Cavachi, "Ovidius" University of Constanța, Constanța, Romania.* Let k be a fixed integer greater than 1. Prove that there exists an integer n greater than 1, and distinct integers a_1, \dots, a_n all greater than 1, such that both $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(a_j)$ are k th powers of a positive integer. Here φ denotes Euler's totient function.

Solution by C. R. Pranesachar, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India. We first choose a and b such that $2a + 6b = (2k + 2)^k$ and $a + 2b = (2k)^k$, both k th powers of integers. Solving the linear system yields $a = 3(2k)^k - (2k + 2)^k = 2^k(3k^k - (k + 1)^k)$ and $b = \frac{1}{2}((2k + 2)^k - 2(2k)^k) = 2^{k-1}((k + 1)^k - 2k^k)$. Since $2 < (1 + \frac{1}{k})^k < 3$ for $k > 1$, it follows that a and b are positive integers. Express the even integers $2a$ and $2b$ as sums of distinct positive powers of 2:

$$\begin{aligned} 2a &= 2^{r_1} + 2^{r_2} + \dots + 2^{r_l}, & 1 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_l; \\ 2b &= 2^{s_1} + 2^{s_2} + \dots + 2^{s_m}, & 1 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_m. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a_i = 2^{r_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $a_{l+j} = 3 \cdot 2^{s_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Let $n = l + m$, and consider a_1, \dots, a_n , which are clearly distinct. Note that $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j = 2a + 6b = (2k + 2)^k$. Since $\varphi(2^r) = 2^{r-1}$ and $\varphi(3 \cdot 2^r) = 2^r$,

$$\sum_{h=1}^n \varphi(a_h) = \sum_{i=1}^l 2^{r_i-1} + \sum_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j} = a + 2b = (2k)^k.$$

Editorial comment. The GCHQ Problem Solving Group used distinct powers of 3, distinct numbers of the form $3 \cdot 2^r$, and distinct powers of 2 to show that there are distinct numbers a_1, \dots, a_n , all greater than 1, such that $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j = s$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \phi(a_j) = t$, provided that $s/2 < t < 8s/15$.

Also solved by P. P. Dályay (Hungary), A. Stadler (Switzerland), R. Stong, M. Tetiva (Romania), GCHQ Problem Solving Group (U. K.), and the proposer.

An Inequality

11430 [2009, 366]. *Proposed by He Yi, Macao University of Science and Technology, Macao, China.* For real x_1, \dots, x_n , show that

$$\frac{x_1}{1+x_1^2} + \frac{x_2}{1+x_1^2+x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{x_n}{1+x_1^2+\dots+x_n^2} < \sqrt{n}.$$

Solution by Kenneth F. Andersen, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada. Letting $x_0 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^2}{(1+x_1^2+\dots+x_j^2)^2} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{x_0^2+x_1^2+\dots+x_{j-1}^2} - \frac{1}{x_0^2+x_1^2+\dots+x_j^2} \right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{1+x_1^2+\dots+x_n^2} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality shows that, as required,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j}{1+x_1^2+\dots+x_j^2} \leq \left[\sum_{j=1}^n 1 \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^2}{(1+x_1^2+\dots+x_j^2)^2} \right]^{1/2} < \sqrt{n}.$$

Editorial comment. This problem is known. (1) It was a Romanian proposal for the IMO 2001; two solutions are on page 676 of *The IMO Compendium* (Springer, 2006). (2) It was part of the Indian Team Selection Test for the 2002 IMO; a solution was published in *Crux Mathematicorum with Mathematical Mayhem* **35** (2009) 98. (3) It was Problem 1242 in *Elementa der Mathematik* **63** (2008) 103.

Also solved by A. Alt, M. S. Ashbaugh & S. G. Saenz (U.S.A. & Chile), R. Bagby, M. Bataille (France), D. Borwein (Canada), P. Bracken, M. Can, R. Chapman (U. K.), H. Chen, L. Csete (Hungary), P. P. Dályay (Hungary), J. Fabrykowski & T. Smotzer, O. Geupel (Germany), J. Grivaux (France), E. Hysnelaj & E. Bojaxhiu (Australia & Albania), Y. H. Kim (Korea), O. Kouba (Syria), J. H. Lindsey II, O. P. Lossers (Netherlands), J. Moreira (Portugal), P. Perfetti (Italy), C. Pohoata (Romania), M. A. Prasad (India), A. Pytel (Poland), H. Ricardo, C. R. & S. Selvaraj, J. Simons (U. K.), A. Stadler (Switzerland), R. Stong, M. Tetiva (Romania), D. Vacaru (Romania), E. I. Verriest, M. Vowe (Switzerland), A. P. Yogananda (India), GCHQ Problem Solving Group (U. K.), Microsoft Research Problems Group, and the proposer.

11431 [2009, 336]. *Proposed by Finbarr Holland and Stephen Wills, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland.* A matrix is *Schur invertible* if all its entries are nonzero, and the *Schur inverse* is the matrix obtained by taking the reciprocal of each entry. Show that an $n \times n$ complex matrix A with all entries nonzero has the property that it and its Schur inverse are both nonnegative definite if and only if there are nonzero complex numbers a_1, \dots, a_n such that for $1 \leq j, k \leq n$, the (j, k) -entry of A is $a_j \bar{a}_k$.

Solution by Éric Pité, Paris, France. Let A be an $n \times n$ complex matrix with all entries nonzero such that it and its Schur inverse are both nonnegative definite. Such an A is a Gramian matrix, i.e., there exist $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $a_{j,k} = \langle v_j, v_k \rangle$ for all (j, k) .

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ we have

$$|a_{j,k}|^2 \leq \|v_j\|^2 \|v_k\|^2 = a_{j,j} a_{k,k}.$$

The Schur inverse is also Gramian, so $1/|a_{j,k}|^2 \leq 1/(a_{j,j} a_{k,k})$ as well. Hence in all these applications of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have equality. It follows that the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n are all proportional. Hence we can write $v_j = \bar{a}_j u$ for some common unit vector u and complex numbers a_1, \dots, a_n and the (j, k) -entry of A is $a_j \bar{a}_k$.

The converse is clear: if y is the vector (a_1, \dots, a_n) , then $A = y \bar{y}^T$ and $v^T A v = |\langle y, v \rangle|^2 \geq 0$, so A is nonnegative definite, and similarly for its Schur inverse.

Also solved by P. Budney, R. Chapman (U. K.), P. P. Dályay (Hungary), N. Grivaux (France), E. A. Herman, O. Kouba (Syria), J. H. Lindsey II, O. P. Lossers (Netherlands), A. Muchlis (Indonesia), R. Stong, M. Tetiva (Romania), Con Amore Problem Group (Denmark), and the proposer.

Interior Evaluation and Boundary Evaluation

11432 [2009, 463]. *Proposed by Marian Tetiva, National College "Gheorghe Roșca Codreanu," Bîrlad, Romania.* Let P be a polynomial of degree n with complex coefficients and with $P(0) = 0$. Show that for any complex α with $|\alpha| < 1$ there exist complex numbers z_1, \dots, z_{n+2} , all of norm 1, such that $P(\alpha) = P(z_1) + \dots + P(z_{n+2})$.

Solution I by O. P. Lossers, Technical University of Eindhoven, Eindhoven, The Netherlands. We prove something stronger. Given α we prove the existence of z_1, \dots, z_{n+2} such that $|z_j| = 1$ and $z_1^k + \dots + z_{n+2}^k = \alpha^k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Thus, for every polynomial P of degree n with $P(0) = 0$, we have $P(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} P(z_j)$.

To any list of numbers (z_1, \dots, z_{n+2}) we associate the polynomial Q given by $Q(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} (z - z_k)$, and numbers π_k given by $\pi_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} z_j^k$. The numbers π_k and the coefficients c_j in the expansion $Q(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+2} (-1)^j c_j z^{n+2-j}$ are related by the Newton identities: $c_0 = 1$, and

$$k(-1)^k c_k + \pi_k c_0 - \pi_{k-1} c_1 + \dots + (-1)^{k-1} \pi_1 c_{k-1} = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n+2.$$

We want $\pi_k = \alpha^k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. This can only happen if $c_1 = \alpha$ and $c_j = 0$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$. We must therefore choose $Q(z)$ of the form $z^{n+2} - \alpha z^{n+1} + Az + B$. We take $Q(z) = z^{n+2} - \alpha z^{n+1} - \bar{\alpha} z + 1$. With this choice of Q , each z_j satisfies $z^{n+1} = (\bar{\alpha} z - 1)/(z - \alpha)$. The expression on the right side of this equation is the value at z of a Möbius transformation that maps the inside of the unit disk to the outside and vice versa, so $|z_j| = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq n+2$.

Solution II by Richard Stong. We prove something stronger. If k is any integer ≥ 2 , then there exist z_1, \dots, z_k of norm 1 with $P(\alpha) = P(z_1) + \dots + P(z_k)$. Let $B =$

$\{P(z) : |z| = 1\}$ and $F = \{P(z) : |z| \leq 1\}$. Both sets are closed and bounded, and since P is an open map (L. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis*, Corollary 1, p. 132), the boundary ∂F of F is a subset of B . Also, F and B are both path connected, since both are the continuous image of a path connected set.

Lemma. For any $p, q \in F$ there exist $w, z \in B$ such that $p + q = w + z$.

Proof. Let $m = \frac{1}{2}(p + q)$. It will suffice to show that $B \cap (2m - B) \neq \emptyset$, because given $w \in B \cap (2m - B)$, we make take $z = 2m - w$ and have $w, z \in B$ with $w + z = 2m = p + q$. Observe next that $\partial(2m - F) \subseteq (2m - B)$. Now $\partial(F \cup (2m - F)) \neq \emptyset$. If $\partial F \cap \partial(2m - F) \neq \emptyset$, we are done. Otherwise, after replacing u by $2m - u$ if necessary, we may assume the existence of u such that $u \in \partial F$, $u \notin 2m - F$. Thus $u \in B$, $u \notin 2m - F$, $2m - u \in \partial(2m - F)$, and $2m - u \notin F$. On the other hand, $p \in F \cap (2m - F)$ because $2m - p = q$. Since $2m - F$ is path connected, there is a path in $2m - F$ from $2m - u$ to p . Since $2m - u \notin F$ and $p \in F$, there is a v along the path such that $v \in \partial F$, whence $v \in (2m - F) \cap B$. Finally, since B too is path connected, there is a path in B from $u \notin 2m - F$ to v , and it contains a w in $\partial(2m - F)$. This puts $w \in (2m - B) \cap B$. ■

Now taking $p = P(\alpha)$ and $q = P(0) = 0$ in the lemma, we get $P(\alpha) = P(z_1) + P(w)$, where z_1 and w have norm 1. Next, taking $p = P(w)$ and $q = 0$, we get $P(w) = P(z_2) + P(w')$, where again z_2 and w' have norm 1. Continuing in this way, we see that for any $k \geq 2$ we can write $P(\alpha) = P(z_1) + \dots + P(z_k)$ with all z_j of norm 1.

Also solved by R. Chapman (U. K.), O. Kouba (Syria), J. Schaer (Canada), J. Simons (U. K.), GCHQ Problem Solving Group (U. K.), and the proposer.

A Triangle Inequality

11435 [2009, 463]. *Proposed by Panagioté Ligouras, Leonardo da Vinci High School, Noci, Italy.* In a triangle T , let a, b , and c be the lengths of the sides, r the inradius, and R the circumradius. Show that

$$\frac{a^2bc}{(a+b)(a+c)} + \frac{b^2ca}{(b+c)(b+a)} + \frac{c^2ab}{(c+a)(c+b)} \leq \frac{9}{2}rR.$$

Solution by Chip Curtis, Missouri State Southern University, Joplin, MO. Write K for the area of T and s for the semiperimeter. Then $r = K/s$ and $R = abc/(4K)$, so $rR = abc/(4s) = abc/(2(a+b+c))$. The claimed inequality is equivalent to

$$abc \left[\frac{a}{(a+b)(a+c)} + \frac{b}{(b+c)(b+a)} + \frac{c}{(c+a)(c+b)} \right] \leq \frac{9abc}{4(a+b+c)}$$

which simplifies to $(a^2b + a^2c + b^2a + b^2c + c^2a + c^2b) \geq 6abc$. In this last form, it follows from the AM-GM inequality.

Editorial comment. The problem was published with a misprint: $9/4$ in place of $9/2$. We regret the oversight.

Also solved by A. Alt, R. Bagby, M. Bataille (France), E. Braune (Austria), M. Can, R. Chapman (U. K.), L. Csete (Hungary), P. P. Dályay (Hungary), S. Dangc, V. V. García (Spain), M. Goldenberg & M. Kaplan, M. R. Gopal, D. Grinberg, J.-P. Grivaux (France), S. Hitotumatu (Japan), E. Hysnelaj & E. Bojaxhiu (Australia & Albania), B.-T. Iordache (Romania), O. Kouba (Syria), K.-W. Lau (China), J. H. Lindsey II, O. P. Lossers (Netherlands), M. Mabuchi (Japan), J. Minkus, D. J. Moore, R. Nandan, M. D. Nguyen (Vietnam), P. E. Nuesch

(Switzerland), J. Oelschläger, G. T. Prájiturá, C. R. Pranesachar (India), J. Rooin & A. Asadbeygi (Iran), S. G. Saenz (Chile), I. A. Sakmar, C. R. & S. Selvaraj, J. Simons (U. K.), E. A. Smith, S. Song (Korea), A. Stadler (Switzerland), R. Stong, W. Szpunar-Łojasiewicz, R. Tauraso (Italy), M. Tetiva (Romania), B. Tomper, E. I. Verriest, Z. Vörös (Hungary), M. Vowe (Switzerland), J. B. Zacharias, Con Amore Problem Group (Denmark), GCHQ Problem Solving Group (U. K.), Microsoft Research Problems Group, and the proposer.

Partition by a Function

11439 [2009, 547]. *Proposed by Stephen Herschkorn, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ.* Let f be a continuous function from $[0, 1]$ into $[0, 1]$ such that $f(0) = f(1) = 0$. Let G be the set of all (x, y) in the square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ so that $f(x) = f(y)$.

(a) Show that G need not be connected.

(b)* Must $(0, 1)$ and $(0, 0)$ be in the same connected component of G ?

Composite solution by Armenak Petrosyan (student), Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia, and Richard Stong, San Diego, CA.

(a) Let f be the piecewise linear function whose graph joins the points $(0, 0)$, $(1/6, 1)$, $(1/3, 1/2)$, $(1/2, 1)$, $(2/3, 0)$, $(5/6, 1/2)$, and $(1, 0)$. This f has a strict local minimum at $x = 1/3$ and a strict local maximum at $x = 5/6$ with $f(1/3) = f(5/6) = 1/2$. Thus $(1/3, 5/6)$ is an isolated point of G , so G is not connected.

(b) We claim that $(0, 1)$ and $(0, 0)$ are in the same component of G . Let $D = \{(x, x) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$. If $(0, 1)$ and D are in different components of G , then there are disjoint open sets U, V in the square $S = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ such that $(0, 1) \in U$, $D \subset V$, and $G \subset U \cup V$. Let $C_1 = G \cap U$ and $C_2 = G \cap V$. Since C_1 and C_2 are both open in G , they are also both closed, hence compact. We may further assume that C_1 lies entirely above the line $y = x$. For each point $p \in C_1$, choose an open square centered at p with sides parallel to the axes, not lying along any edge of S , and with closure disjoint from C_2 . These squares form an open cover of C_1 , so there is a finite subcover. Let F be the union of the closed squares corresponding to this subcover. Let F' be the intersection of S with the boundary of F . Now F is closed, lies above $y = x$, and contains C_1 in its interior and C_2 in its complement. Also, F' consists of line segments. From F' we define a graph H whose vertices are the intersections of these line segments with each other or with the boundary of S ; vertices of H are adjacent when connected by a segment contained in F' . Vertices have degree 1, 2, or 4, with degree 1 only on the boundary of S .

Since $(0, 1) \in F$ and $D \cap F = \emptyset$, toggling membership in F at vertices of H along the left edge of S implies that the number of vertices of degree 1 on the left edge of S is odd, and similarly along the top edge. Since each component of a graph has an even number of vertices of odd degree, some component contains vertices of degree 1 on both of these edges, and hence H must contain at least one path joining these edges. However, the function ϕ on S given by $\phi(x, y) = f(x) - f(y)$ is continuous, non-negative on the top edge and non-positive on the left edge. Thus some point (x, y) on this path must have $\phi(x, y) = 0$. Such a point lies in G , contrary to our construction. Thus $(0, 1)$ and D lie in the same component of G .

Editorial comment. A second approach to solving part (b) builds from the case where f is piecewise linear (essentially the “Two Men of Tibet” problem; see P. Zeitz, *The Art and Craft of Problem Solving*, John Wiley & Sons, 1999).

Also solved by D. Ray, V. Rutherford, Szeged Problem Solving Group “Fejéntaláltuka” (Hungary). Part (a) solved by R. Chapman (U. K.), W. J. Cowieson, M. D. Meyerson, J. H. Nieto (Venezuela), A. Pytel (Poland), Fisher Problem Solving Group, GCHQ Problem Solving Group (U. K.), and Microsoft Research Problems Group.